

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,063	09/17/2003	Vernon Eric Staton	40575-193069	3255
26694	7590 02/07/200	5	EXAMINER	
VENABLE, BAETJER, HOWARD AND CIVILETTI, LLP			SEMUNEGUS, LULIT	
P.O. BOX 3 WASHING	1385 ON, DC 20043-9998		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3641	
			DATE MAILED: 02/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

i			• •			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
V		10/664,063	STATON, VERNON ERIC			
V	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Lulit Semunegus	3641			
Peri	The MAILING DATE of this communication app od for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
- - -	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be a within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) divill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Stat	us					
	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 De</u>	ecember 2004.				
		action is non-final.				
;	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disp	position of Claims					
<u> </u>	4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Арр	lication Papers					
1	9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b)  objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Prio	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
1:	2) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ition No ved in this National Stage			
	hment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summaı	ov (PTO-413)			
2) 🔲	Notice of References Cited (PTO-092)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail I				

Application/Control Number: 10/664,063 Page 2

Art Unit: 3641

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "the asset" in page 7, last line. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless – (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Christensen (2,555,318). Christensen teaches a method for blast protection system for protecting an asset from a blast force, the system comprising: a shaft (78); a plurality of plates/disks/Belleville springs (36) with concave/convex side (col. 5, line 1) mounted on

Art Unit: 3641

the shaft; a panel (51) attached to a first plate (37, top part) of the plurality of plates and for receiving the blast force and transmitting a portion of the blast force to the first plate; and a base (38) attached to a second plate (37, bottom part) of the plurality of the plates and for receiving a portion of the force transmitted to the first plate by the panel; wherein the plurality of plates slides on the shaft when the portion of the force transmitted to the first plate is transmitted to the base (col. 5, lines 22-36); and wherein at lest one or majority of the plurality of plates are slidably mounted on the shaft and prestressed against each other before being subjected to the blast force (col. 4, lines 47-54).

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lulit Semunegus whose telephone number is (703) 306-5960. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone can be reached on (703) 306-4198. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3641

Lulit Semunegus Examiner Art Unit 3641

MICHAEL J. LA.
EUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER